Procedures for evaluating research in Jordan Journal of Applied Sciences

- The author has a special account to activate on electronic system of JJOAS.
- After activating his account, he must keep his password and username to be able to use the system permanently.
- The author to include research through the mail account and follow up the progress of research in all transparency and share the evaluation process through discussions available and sending notes.
- Two reviewers Participate in the evaluation process.
- The reviewer can use the instructions for preparing the research, should commit to using evaluation models, and required duration for the evaluation period that requires a maximum of one month.
- The evaluation process is done by calculating the total score of the research evaluation points. In case the estimates of reviewer's rate are not less than 70%, research will be approved. The author is required to make amendments within a maximum of one month from the date of sending amendments.
- The research is reviewed to ensure that the author did all the required amendments to send a final report on the researcher's commitment to the amendments and the validity of the research.

Exceptions:

- In case of contradicting responses of reviewers before sending the research for modification (first reviewer minor amendments, and the second reviewer’s response is not valid for publication) is sent to a third reviewer to check the integrity of the evaluation and the equity research.
- The author has the right to write a report for the reviewer in case he objects to some of the required observations objectively and tactfully and is sent with the amended research to be reviewed, for the journal’s keenness on the principle of cooperation and intellectual and ethical participation in the writing of the research.

- The author has the right to review the notes of the reviewers after rejecting the research.